

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/811,617	BLANCHON, CHARLES-GUILLAUME	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Klifton L. Kime.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*that of record*

**Claims discussed:**

*that of record.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*that of record.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ex. Eashoo proposed an examiners amdt. which would place other claims in line with the new limitations added to claim 15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 15 (11/07/04) are persuasive. Mr. Kime approved the amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)